arXiv:0905.1049v1 [math.RA] 7 May 2009

The central polynomials of the infinite dimensional unitary and nonunitary Grassmann algebras

C. Bekh-Ochir and S. A. Rankin

May 7, 2009

Abstract

We describe the T-space of central polynomials for both the unitary and the nonunitary infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra over a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$ (infinite field in the case of the unitary algebra).

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let k be a field and X a countable set, say $X = \{\, x_i \mid i \geq 1 \,\}$. Then $k_0\langle X\rangle$ denotes the free (nonunitary) associative k-algebra over X, while $k_1\langle X\rangle$ denotes the free unitary associative k-algebra over X.

Let H denote any associative k-algebra. For any $X \subseteq H$, $\langle X\rangle$ shall denote the linear subspace of H spanned by X. Any linear subspace of H that is invariant under every endomorphism of H is called a T-space of H, and if a T-space happens to also be an ideal of H, then it is called a T-ideal of H. For $X \subseteq H$, the smallest T-space containing X shall be denoted by X^S, while the smallest T-ideal of H that contains X shall be denoted by X^T. In this article, we shall deal only with T-spaces and T-ideals of $k_0\langle X\rangle$ and $k_1\langle X\rangle$.

An element $f \in k_0\langle X\rangle$ is called an *identity* of H if f is in the kernel of every homomorphism from $k_0\langle X\rangle$ to H (from $k_1\langle X\rangle$ if H is unitary). The set of all identities of H is a T-ideal of $k_0\langle X\rangle$ (and of $k_1\langle X\rangle$ if H is unitary), denoted by $T(H)$. An element $f \in k_0\langle X\rangle$ is called a *central polynomial* of H if $f \notin T(H)$ and the image of f under any homomorphism from $k_0\langle X\rangle$ ($k_1\langle X\rangle$ if H is unitary) belongs to C_H, the centre of H.

Let G denote the (countably) infinite dimensional unitary Grassmann algebra over k, so there exist $e_i \in G$, $i \geq 1$, such that for all i and j, $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$, $e_i^2 = 0$, and $\mathcal{B} = \{\, e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n} \mid n \geq 1, i_1 < i_2 < \cdots i_n \,\}$, together with 1, forms a linear basis for G. The subalgebra of G with linear basis $\mathcal{B}$ is the infinite dimensional nonunitary Grassmann algebra over k, and is denoted by G_0.

Evidently, $T(G) \subseteq T(G_0)$. It is well known that $T^{(3)}$, the T-ideal of $k_1\langle X\rangle$ that is generated by $[[x_1, x_2], x_3]$, is contained in $T(G)$. For convenience, we shall write $[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ for $[[x_1, x_2], x_3]$. Moreover, it is also well known (see [4], Theorem 5.1.2 (i) for example) that for a field of characteristic zero, $T(G) = T^{(3)}$.

We shall let $CP(G)$ and $CP(G_0)$ denote the T-spaces of $k_1\langle X\rangle$ and $k_0\langle X\rangle$ that are generated by the central polynomials of G and G_0, respectively. Evidently, $T(G) \subseteq CP(G)$, $T(G_0) \subseteq CP(G_0)$, and $CP(G) \cap k_0\langle X\rangle \subseteq CP(G_0)$.

The original interest in central polynomials dates back to 1956 [7], when Kaplansky asked whether there exists a central polynomial for the matrix algebra $M_r(k)$, $r > 2$. It wasn't until 1972-73 that the answer to this question was provided by constructions due to Formanek [5] and Razmyslov [9]. Subsequently, many authors have contributed examples of central polynomials for the matrix rings.

In contrast, little seems to be known about the central polynomials for another natural algebra to study, namely the Grassmann algebra. It is known (see [2]) that for a field of characteristic zero, $CP(G)$, the T-space of central polynomials of G, the infinite dimensional unitary Grassmann algebra, is equal to $\{\,[x_1,x_2],[x_1,x_2][x_3,x_4]\,\}^S$. Furthermore, for a field of characteristic 2, the unitary infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra (and hence the nonunitary Grassmann algebra) is commutative, and thus the T-space of central polynomials for each is $k_0\langle X\rangle$.

In this paper, we describe the T-space of the central polynomials for both the unitary and the nonunitary infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra over a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$ (except for the case of the infinite dimensional unitary Grassmann algebra over a finite field). As should be expected, the description of the central polynomials relies heavily on knowledge of the T-ideal of identities of the relevant Grassmann algebra, due to A. Giambruno and P. Koshlukov [6] for the case of the unitary infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra over an infinite field, and to P. N. Siderov [10] for the case of the nonunitary infinite dimensional Grassman algebra over an arbitrary field of characteristic $p > 2$.

In the case of the infinite dimensional nonunitary Grassmann algebra over an arbitrary field k, we show that if k has characteristic zero, then

$$CP(G_0) = \{\,[x_1,x_2],[x_1,x_2][x_3,x_4]\,\}^S,$$

while if k has characteristic $p > 2$, then

$$CP(G_0) = \{\,[x_1,x_2],x_1^p,x_2x_1^p,[x_1,x_2][x_3,x_4]\,\}^S + \{\,w_n \mid n \geq 1\,\}^S,$$

where for each $n \geq 1$, $w_n = \prod_{k=1}^n [x_{2k-1},x_{2k}]x_{2k-1}^{p-1}x_{2k}^{p-1}$.

In the case of the infinite dimensional unitary Grassman algebra over an infinite field k, we show that if k has characteristic zero, then

$$CP(G) = \{\,[x_1,x_2],[x_1,x_2][x_3,x_4]\,\}^S,$$

while if k has characteristic $p > 2$, then

$$CP(G) = \{\,[x_1,x_2],x_1^p,[x_1,x_2][x_3,x_4]\,\}^S + \{\,x_{2n+1}^p w_n \mid n \geq 1\,\}^S,$$

where for each $n \geq 1$, $w_n = \prod_{k=1}^n [x_{2k-1},x_{2k}]x_{2k-1}^{p-1}x_{2k}^{p-1}$.

We complete this section with a description of some familiar identities that will be required in the sequel.

Lemma 1.1 *(i)* $[u, vw] = [u, v]w + v[u, w]$ *for all* $u, v, w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(ii) $[u, vw] = [u, v]w + [u, w]v + [v, [u, w]]$ *for all* $u, v, w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(iii) $[u, \prod_{i=1}^{n} v_i] \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n}\big([u, v_i] \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{n} v_j \big)$ $(mod T^{(3)})$ *for any positive integer* n, *and any* $u, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(iv) $[u, v][w, x] \equiv -[u, w][v, x]$ $(mod T^{(3)})$ *for all* $u, v, w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(v) $[u, v][u, w] \equiv 0$ $(mod T^{(3)})$ *for all* $u, v, w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(vi) $[u, v]uw \equiv [u, v]wu$ $(mod T^{(3)})$ *for all* $u, v, w \in k_0\langle X\rangle$.

(vii) For $n \geq 2$, $x_1^n x_2^n \equiv (x_1x_2)^n + \binom{n}{2}[x_1, x_2]x_1^{n-1}x_2^{n-1}$ $(mod T^{(3)})$.

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) are evident by direct calculation. We prove (iii) by induction on n. The result is trivial when $n = 1$, while (ii) establishes the case for $n = 2$. Suppose now that $n \geq 2$ is an integer for which the result holds, and consider $u, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1} \in k_0\langle X\rangle$. Working modulo $T^{(3)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[u, \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} v_i] &\equiv [u, \prod_{i=1}^{n} v_i]v_{n+1} + [u, v_{n+1}]\prod_{j=1}^{n} v_i \quad \text{by (i)} \\
&\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n}\big([u, v_i] \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{n+1} v_i \big) + [u, v_{n+1}]\prod_{j=1}^{n} v_i \quad \text{by the inductive hypothesis} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\big([u, v_i] \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{n+1} v_i \big).
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows now by induction.

For (iv) and (v), see Latyshev [8]. (vi) follows immediately from (v), as we have $[u, v]uw - [u, v]wu = [u, v][u, w] \equiv 0 \pmod{T^{(3)}}$.

For (vii), observe that $x_1^2x_2^2 = (x_1x_2)^2 + x_1[x_1, x_2]x_2 \equiv (x_1x_2)^2 + [x_1, x_2]x_1x_2$ modulo $T^{(3)}$. Suppose now that $n \geq 2$ is an integer such that $x_1^n x_2^n \equiv (x_1x_2)^n + \binom{n}{2}[x_1, x_2]x_1^{n-1}x_2^{n-1}$, again modulo $T^{(3)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1^{n+1}x_2^{n+1} &= x_1(x_1^n x_2^n)x_2 \equiv x_1(x_1x_2)^n x_2 + \binom{n}{2} x_1[x_1, x_2]x_1^{n-1}x_2^n \\
&\equiv (x_1x_2)^{n+1} + [x_1, (x_1x_2)^n]x_2 + \binom{n}{2}[x_1, x_2]x_1^n x_2^n \\
&\equiv (x_1x_2)^{n+1} + n[x_1, x_1x_2](x_1x_2)^{n-1}x_2 + \binom{n}{2}[x_1, x_2]x_1^n x_2^n \quad \text{by (iii)} \\
&\equiv (x_1x_2)^{n+1} + \binom{n+1}{2}[x_1, x_2]x_1^n x_2^n \quad \text{by (vi)},
\end{aligned}$$

and so the result follows by induction. ∎

We note that by definition, any 2-commutator is central modulo $T^{(3)}$, while Lemma 1.1 (vi) establishes that in any product term that has a 2-commutator factor $[u,v]$, any occurrence of u (or w) in the product term commutes with any factor of the product term, modulo $T^{(3)}$.

2 The central polynomials of the infinite dimensional nonunitary Grassmann algebra over a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$

Throughout this section, it is assumed that k has characteristic $p \neq 2$.

Lemma 2.1 *For any $u,v \in k_0\langle X\rangle$ and nonnegative integers m,n, $[u,v]u^m v^n$ is central modulo $T^{(3)}$.*

Proof. First of all, for any $w \in k_1\langle X\rangle$, $[w,[u,v]] = w[u,v] - [u,v]w$, so $[u,v]$ is indeed central modulo $T^{(3)}$. With this fact and Lemma 1.1 (vi), we then obtain $w[u,v]u^m v^n \equiv [u,v]u^m v^n w \ (\mathrm{mod}\, T^{(3)})$. ∎

Definition 2.1 *If $p = 0$, let $S = \{\,[x_1,x_2]\,\}^S$, while if $p > 2$, then for each $n \geq 1$, let $w_n = \prod_{k=1}^n [x_{2k-1},x_{2k}]x_{2k-1}^{p-1}x_{2k}^{p-1}$ and set $S = \{\,[x_1,x_2]\,\}^S + \{\,w_n \mid n \geq 1\,\}^S$.*

Lemma 2.2 *$S \subseteq CP(G) \cap k_0\langle X\rangle \subseteq CP(G_0)$.*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 2.1. ∎

Lemma 2.3 *Let α_1, α_2 be positive integers, and suppose that $u \in k_0\langle X\rangle$ is central modulo $T^{(3)}$. Then $[x_2, x_1^{\alpha_1+1}x_2^{\alpha_2}u] \equiv (\alpha_1+1)[x_2,x_1]x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}u \ (mod T^{(3)})$.*

Proof. Working modulo $T^{(3)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_2, x_1^{\alpha_1+1}x_2^{\alpha_2}u] &\equiv [x_2, x_1^{\alpha_1+1}x_2^{\alpha_2}]u + [x_2,u]x_1^{\alpha_1+1}x_2^{\alpha_2} \quad \text{by Lemma 1.1 (ii)}\\
&\equiv [x_2, x_1^{\alpha_1+1}x_2^{\alpha_2}]u \quad \text{since } [x_2,u] \equiv 0 \ (\mathrm{mod}\, T^{(3)})\\
&\equiv [x_2, x_2^{\alpha_2}]x_1^{\alpha_1+1}u + [x_2, x_1^{\alpha_1+1}]x_2^{\alpha_2}u \quad \text{by Lemma 1.1 (ii)}\\
&\equiv (\alpha_1+1)[x_2,x_1]x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}u \quad \text{by Lemma 1.1 (iii).}
\end{aligned}$$

∎

Corollary 2.1 *Let β_i, $i = 1\ldots,2n$ be integers such that for each i, $0 \leq \beta_i$, and, if $p > 2$, $\beta_i \leq p-1$. Then*

$$\prod_{k=1}^n [x_{2k-1},x_{2k}]x_{2k-1}^{\beta_{2k-1}}x_{2k}^{\beta_{2k}} \in S + T^{(3)}.$$

Proof. Let $u = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}] x_{2k-1}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{2k}^{\beta_{2k}}$. If $p > 2$ and $\beta_i = p-1$ for each i, then $u \in S$, while if either $p > 2$ and there exists i such that $\beta_i < p-1$, or $p = 0$ and i is arbitrary, then $\beta_i + 1$ is invertible and so, working modulo $T^{(3)}$ (by Lemma 1.1 (vi), we may assume without loss of generality that i is odd, say $i = 2j-1$), we have

$$u \equiv -(\beta_i + 1)^{-1} [x_{i+1}, x_i^{\beta_i+1} x_{i+1}^{\beta_{i+1}} \Big(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq j}} [x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}] x_{2k-1}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{2k}^{\beta_{2k}} \Big)] \in S$$

by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. ■

Corollary 2.2 *Let α_1, α_2 be nonnegative integers, let $u, v \in k_0\langle X\rangle$, and suppose that $u \in k_0\langle X\rangle$ is central modulo $T^{(3)}$. Then $(\alpha_1 + 1) v [x_1, x_2] x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} u \equiv [x_2, v] x_1^{\alpha_1+1} x_2^{\alpha_2} u$ $(mod\, S + T^{(3)})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 (ii), we have

$$[x_2, v x_1^{\alpha_1+1} x_2^{\alpha_2} u] \equiv v [x_2, x_1^{\alpha_1+1} x_2^{\alpha_2} u] + [x_2, v] x_1^{\alpha_1+1} x_2^{\alpha_2} u \pmod{T^{(3)}},$$

so $[x_2, v] x_1^{\alpha_1+1} x_2^{\alpha_2} u \equiv -v [x_2, x_1^{\alpha_1+1} x_2^{\alpha_2} u] \pmod{S + T^{(3)}}$, and by Lemma 2.3,

$$[x_2, x_1^{\alpha_1+1} x_2^{\alpha_2} u] \equiv -(\alpha_1 + 1) [x_1, x_2] x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} u \pmod{T^{(3)}}.$$

Since $T^{(3)}$ is an ideal of $k_0\langle X\rangle$, the result follows. ■

The following proposition is due to Siderov (presented in [10] for the nonunitary case).

Proposition 2.1 *Every element of $\{ [x_1, x_2] \}^T$ is congruent modulo $T^{(3)}$ to a linear combination of elements of the form $w \prod_{s=1}^{n} [x_{j_{2s-1}}, x_{2s}] x_{j_{2s-1}}^{\beta_{2s-1}} x_{j_{2s}}^{\beta_{2s}}$, where $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots j_{2s}$, $\beta_i \geq 0$ for all i, and either w is void, or else $w = \prod_{r=1}^{m} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r$, $\{ i_1, \ldots, i_r \} \cap \{ j_1, \ldots, j_{2s} \} = \varnothing$, and $\alpha_i > 0$ for all i.*

Proof. Let $f \in \{ [x_1, x_2] \}^T$, so that f is a linear combination of elements of the form $w[u, v]z$, where w, u, v, z are monomials in $k_0\langle X\rangle$, with one or both of w, z possibly void. Now for monomials u and $v = \prod_{s=1}^{n} x_{j_s}$, we may apply Lemma 1.1 (iii) to obtain that

$$[u, v] \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{n} [u, x_{j_s}] \prod_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \neq s}}^{n} x_{j_t} \pmod{T^{(3)}}.$$

Additionally, since 2-commutators commute modulo $T^{(3)}$, it follows that f can be written as a linear combination of elements of the form $w \prod_{s=1}^{n} [x_{j_{2s-1}}, x_{j_{2s}}]$, where either w is void, or else w has the form $w = \prod_{r=1}^{m} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$ with $\alpha_l > 0$ for each l. Consider a polynomial of the form $w[u, v]$, where $w = \prod_{r=1}^{m} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_i}$ with $\alpha_i > 0$ for each i, and suppose that the variables appearing in w are not in the proper order. Then we use the identity $xy = yx + [x, y]$ to replace w

by a sum of two terms, one a monomial on the same variables as w but with fewer out of order, the other of the form $w'[x_i, x_j][u, v]$, where w' has fewer variables than w. It follows that x can be written as a linear combination of polynomials of the form $w\prod_{s=1}^{n}[x_{j_{2s-1}}, x_{2s}]$, where w is either void or else $w = \prod_{r=1}^{m} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$, where $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r$, and $\alpha_i > 0$ for all i. Next, we use Lemma 1.1 (iv) and (vi) to obtain x as a linear combination of elements of the form $w\prod_{s=1}^{n}[x_{j_{2s-1}}, x_{2s}]x_{j_{2s-1}}^{\beta_{2s-1}}x_{j_{2s}}^{\beta_{2s}}$, where $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots j_{2s}$, $\beta_l \geq 0$ for all l, and either w is void, or else $w = \prod_{r=1}^{m} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r$, and $\alpha_i > 0$ for all i. Finally, we use Lemma 1.1 (vi) to obtain f as a linear combination of elements of the desired form. ∎

The elements that appear in Proposition 2.1 will play an important role in the sequel.

Definition 2.2 *Let SS denote the set of all elements of the form*

(i) $\prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$, *or*

(ii) $\prod_{r=1}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{2r}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$, *or*

(iii) $\left(\prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}\right)\prod_{r=1}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{2r}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$,

where $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots j_{2s}$, $\beta_i \geq 0$ *and* $\alpha_i \geq 1$ *for all* i, $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \cap \{j_1, \ldots, j_{2s}\} = \varnothing$, *and* $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t$.

Let $u \in SS$. *If* u *is of the form (i), then the beginning of* u *is* $\prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$, *the end of* u *is empty, the length of the beginning of* u, *lbeg*(u), *is equal to* t *and the length of the end of* u, *lend*(u), *is 0. If* u *is of the form (ii), then we say that the beginning of* u *is empty, the end of* u *is* $\prod_{r=1}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{2r}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$, *and lbeg*$(u) = 0$ *and lend*$(u) = s$. *If* u *is of the form (iii), then we say that the beginning of* u *is* $\prod_{r=1}^{t} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}$, *the end of* u *is* $\prod_{r=1}^{s}[x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{2r}]x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}}x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$, *lbeg*$(u) = t$ *and lend*$(u) = s$.

In [11], Venkova introduced a total order on the set SS which was useful in her work on the identities of the finite dimensional nonunitary Grassmann algebra. Subsequently, Siderov [10] used a modification of this order in his work on the identities of the infinite dimensional nonunitary Grassmann algebra.

Definition 2.3 (Venkova's ordering) *For* $u, v \in SS$, *we say that* $u > v$ *if one of the following requirements holds.*

(i) $\deg u < \deg v$.

(ii) $\deg u = \deg v$ *but lend*$(u) <$ *lend*(v).

(iii) $\deg u = \deg v$ *and lend*$(u) =$ *lend*(v), *but there exists* $i \geq 1$ *such that* $\deg_{x_i} u < \deg_{x_i} v$ *and for each* $j < i$, $\deg_{x_j} u = \deg_{x_j} v$.

(iv) $\deg u = \deg v$, *$lend(u) = lend(v)$ and for each $i \geq 1$, $\deg_{x_i} u = \deg_{x_i} v$, and there exists $j \geq 1$ such that x_j appears in the end of u and in the beginning of v, and for each $k < j$, x_k appears in the beginning of u if and only if x_k appears in the beginning of v.*

It will be helpful to note that if $u > v$ by virtue of condition (iv), then there exists $k > j$ such that x_k is in the beginning of u and in the end of v.

Definition 2.4 *Let R be the subspace of $k_0\langle X\rangle$ that is spanned by $\{\, u \in SS \mid lbeg(u) > 0 \,\}$.*

Lemma 2.4 $k_0\langle X\rangle = R + S + T^{(3)}$.

Proof. Observe that by Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, each element of $\{\, [x_1, x_2] \,\}^T$ is in $R + S + T^{(3)}$, and so it suffices to prove that every monomial belongs to $R+S+T^{(3)}$. This we prove by induction on the number of pairs of indices that are out of order. Consider an arbitrary monomial $w = x_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} x_{t_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_{t_n}^{\alpha_n}$, where $t_i \neq t_{i+1}$ for every i. If the indices are in ascending order, then $w \in R$. Otherwise, we may use the identity $xy = yx + [x, y]$ to write w as the sum of a monomial with fewer indices out of order and an element of $\{\, [x_1, x_2] \,\}^T$. It follows now by induction that $w \in R + S + T^{(3)}$. ■

Definition 2.5 *A product term $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n}$ in G_0 is said to be even if n is even, otherwise the product term is said to be odd. $u \in G_0$ is said to be even if u is a linear combination of even product terms, while u is said to be odd if u is a linear combination of odd product terms. Let C denote the set of all even elements of G_0, and let H denote the set of all odd elements of G_0.*

Note that C and H are subspaces of G_0, with C closed under multiplication, $H^2 \subseteq C$, and $CH = HC \subseteq H$. Evidently, $G_0 = C \oplus H$ as vector spaces.

Lemma 2.5 *(i) $C = C_{G_0}$.*

(ii) For $h, u \in H$, $hu = -uh$. In particular, $h^2 = 0$ (since $p \neq 2$).

(iii) Let $g \in G_0$, so there exist (unique) $c \in C$ and $h \in H$ such that $g = c + h$. For any positive integer n, $g^n = c^n + nc^{n-1}h$.

(iv) If $p > 2$, then for $g \in G_0$, $g^p = 0$.

(v) Let $c_1, c_2 \in C$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H$, and set $g_1 = c_1 + h_1$, $g_2 = c_2 + h_2$. Then for any nonnegative integers m_1, m_2, $[g_1, g_2] g_1^{m_1} g_2^{m_2} = 2c_1^{m_1} c_2^{m_2} h_1 h_2$ (where g_i^0 and c_i^0 are understood to mean that the factors g_i^0 and c_i^0 are omitted).

(vi) Let $u \in G_0$. Then $u^{n+1} = 0$, where n is the number of distinct basic product terms in the expression for u as a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. That $C \subseteq C_{G_0}$ and $hu = -uh$ for all $h \in H$, $u \in k_0\langle X\rangle$, it suffices to observe that $e_k(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}) = (-1)^n e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}e_k$ for any $e_k, e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_n}$. It remains to prove that $C_{G_0} \subseteq C$. Let $u \in C_{G_0}$. Then $u = c + h$ for some $c \in C$ and $h \in H$. Then $h = u - c \in C_{G_0}$ as well. But $h = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i u_i$, where for each i, $\alpha_i \in k$ and $u_i \in H \cap \mathcal{B}$. Let j be such that e_j does not appear in any u_i. Since $h \in H$, $he_j = -e_j h$, but since $h \in C_{G_0}$, $he_j = e_j h$. Thus $0 = 2he_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\alpha_i u_i e_j$. Since e_j does not appear in any u_i, we conclude that $2\alpha_i = 0$ for every i. Thus $2h = 0$, and since $p \neq 2$, it follows that $h = 0$ and so $u \in C$.

For (iii), note that since $c \in C$ is central, $(c+h)^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} c^i h^{n-i}$. Since $h \in H$, we have $h^2 = 0$, so only the terms with $i = n-1$ and n survive, so $(c+h)^n = \binom{n}{n-1} c^{n-1}h + \binom{n}{n} c^n = c^n + nc^{n-1}h$, as required.

For (iv), suppose that $p > 2$ and write $c \in C$ as a linear combination of even product terms, say $c = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j u_j$, where each u_j is an even product term, hence central. Then

$$c^p = \sum_{\substack{0 \le i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \le k \\ i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = p}} \binom{p}{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k} (\alpha_1 u_1)^{i_1} \cdots (\alpha_k u_k)^{i_k}.$$

Since p divides $\binom{p}{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k}$ if every $i_j \neq p$, it follows that $c^p = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i^p u_i^p$. Finally, since each u_i is a product term and thus $u_i^2 = 0$ for each i, it follows that $c^p = 0$. For $g \in G_0$, we have $g = c + h$ for some $c \in C$ and $h \in H$, and by (iii), $g^p = c^p$, so $g^p = 0$.

For (v), we have

$$[g_1, g_2] g_1^{m_1} g_2^{m_2} = [c_1 + h_1, c_2 + h_2] c_1^{m_1} c_2^{m_2} = [h_1, h_2] c_1^{m_1} c_2^{m_2} = 2h_1 h_2 c_1^{m_1} c_2^{m_2}.$$

Finally, let $u \in G_0$ with $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i u_i$, where each u_i is a basic product term. Then u^{n+1} is a linear combination of product terms of the form $u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \cdots u_{i_{n+1}}$, where $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n+1} \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, so in each product term, there is at least one index i such that u_i appears twice in the product term, and so each product term is 0. ■

Definition 2.6 *For $u = e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n} \in \mathcal{B}$, let $s(u) = \{e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_n}\}$. Then for any $g \in G_0$, if $g \neq 0$, let $s(g) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} s(g_i)$, where $g = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i g_i$ with $a_i \in k^*$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{B}$, while $s(0) = \varnothing$. We shall refer to $s(u)$ as the* support *of u.*

The next lemma is an adaptation of Lemma 2.9 in [10].

Lemma 2.6 *Let n and N be positive integers and let $w = \sum_{j=N+1}^{N+n} e_{2j-1}e_{2j} \in C$, and let $v = w + e_{2N+2n+1}$. Then the following hold.*

(i) Let $t = e_{2N+1}e_{2N+2}\cdots e_{2N+2n}$. Then $t \in C \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $w^n = n!t \in \langle t\rangle$, so $s(w^n) = s(t) = s(w)$, while for any $m < n$,

$$w^m \in \langle \{ u \in \mathcal{B} \mid s(u) \subsetneq s(w) \} \rangle.$$

(ii) Let $t = e_{2N+1}e_{2N+2}\cdots e_{2N+2n}e_{2N+2n+1}$*. Then* $t \in H \cap \mathcal{B}$ *and* $v^{n+1} = (n+1)!t \in \langle t\rangle$*, so* $s(v^{n+1}) = s(t) = s(v)$*, while for any* $m < n+1$*,* $v^m \in \langle \{\, u \in \mathcal{B} \mid \ s(u) \subsetneq s(v) \,\}\rangle$*.*

Proof. Since e_ie_j is central in G_0 for any i and j, it follows that for any positive integer m,

$$\begin{aligned} w^m &= \sum_{\substack{0\le j_1,\ldots,j_n\le m\\ j_1+\cdots+j_n=m}} \binom{m}{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (e_{i_{2k-1}}e_{i_{2k}})^{j_k} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0\le j_1,\ldots,j_n\le 1\\ j_1+\cdots+j_n=m}} \binom{m}{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (e_{i_{2k-1}}e_{i_{2k}})^{j_k} \quad \text{since } e_i^2 = 0 \\ &= m! \sum_{\substack{0\le j_1,\ldots,j_n\le 1\\ j_1+\cdots+j_n=m}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (e_{i_{2k-1}}e_{i_{2k}})^{j_k}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows now by Lemma 2.5 since $w \in C$ and $e_{2N+2n+1} \in H$. Note that by Lemma 2.5 (iii) and (vi), $v^{n+1} = w^{n+1} + (n+1)w^n e_{i_{2n+1}} = (n+1)w^n e_{i_{2n+1}}$. ■

Definition 2.7 *Let* t *and* n *be positive integers with* $t \le n$*, and define* $M_{t,n}$ *to be the set of all elements of the form*

$$x_t^{\alpha_t} \prod_{r=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}} \tag{1}$$

or

$$\left(\prod_{r=1}^{l} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}\right) x_t^{\alpha_t} \prod_{r=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}, \tag{2}$$

or, in the case $t = n$ *only, elements of the form*

$$\prod_{r=1}^{n} x_{i_r}^{\alpha_r} \tag{3}$$

as well, subject to the following requirements.

(a) $s, l \ge 1$.

(b) $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_l < t$ *for elements of type (2.2) or (2.3).*

(c) $j_1 < \cdots < j_{2s}$.

(d) $\{i_1, \ldots, i_l, t\} \cap \{j_1, \ldots, j_{2s}\} = \varnothing$.

(e) $\{i_1, \dots, i_l, t\} \cup \{j_1, \dots, j_{2s}\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

(f) For every k *with* $1 \le k \le l$, $1 \le \alpha_m, \alpha_k$, *and, if* $p > 2$, $\alpha_m, \alpha_k \le p - 1$.

(g) For every r *with* $1 \le r \le 2s$, $0 \le \beta_r$, *and, if* $p > 2$, $\beta_r \le p - 1$.

For any positive integers m and n with $m \le n$, $M_{m,n} \subseteq SS$, and so Definition 2.3 defines a total order on $M_{m,n}$.

Lemma 2.7 *Let* m, n *be positive integers with* $m \le n$. *Then for any* $u \in M_{m,n}$, *there exist* $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$ *in* $G_0(z)$, *the subalgebra of* G_0 *that is generated by* $\{ e_1, e_2, \dots, e_z \}$, *where* $z = 2(\deg(u) - lend(u)) - 1$, *such that the following hold:*

(i) $0 \ne u(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in \langle \prod_{r=1}^{z} e_i \rangle$;

(ii) for any $v \in M_{m,n}$ *with* $u > v$, $v(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = 0$;

(iii) if $p > 2$, *then for any* $g \in G_0$, $[g_m, g]g_m^{p-1} = 0$.

Proof. Let $u \in M_{m,n}$. Then u has form as shown in either (1) or (2), or, in the case $m = n$, of the form (3), and we shall give the proof for u of the form (2), as it will be evident in the argument that the forms (1) and (3) can be handled in a similar way. Under this assumption, there exist indices $i_1, \dots, i_t$, $j_1, \dots, j_{2s}$ and integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$, α_m, and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2s}$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.7 (a)–(f) such that

$$u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} \right) x_m^{\alpha_m} \prod_{k=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2k-1}}, x_{j_{2k}}] x_{j_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{j_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}}.$$

By repeated applications of Lemma 2.6 (i) and (ii), making appropriate choices for the value of N in each case (see (i) below), we find that for the values:

- $g_m = e_{2N_m+2l-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} e_{2N_m+(2i-1)} e_{2N_m+2i}$, where $l = \alpha_m - 1$;
- for each k with $1 \le k \le t$, $g_{i_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k} e_{2N_k+(2i-1)} e_{2N_k+2i}$;
- for each k with $1 \le k \le 2s$, $g_{j_k} = e_{2N_k+2\beta_k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\beta_k} e_{2N_k+(2i-1)} e_{2N_k+2i}$;

the following hold:

(i) for any i, j with $i \ne j$, $s(g_i) \cap s(g_j) = \varnothing$.

(ii) there exists $b_m \in H \cap \mathcal{B}$ such that $s(b_m) = s(g_m)$ and $0 \ne g_m^{\alpha_m} \in \langle b_m \rangle$, but for any $i > \alpha_m = \deg_{x_m}(u)$, $g_m^i = 0$;

(iii) for each k with $1 \le k \le t$, there exists $b_{i_k} \in C \cap \mathcal{B}$ such that $s(b_{i_k}) = s(g_{i_k})$ and $0 \ne g_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} \in \langle b_{i_k} \rangle$, but for any $j > \alpha_k = \deg_{x_k}(u)$, $g_{i_k}^j = 0$;

(iv) for each k with $1 \leq k \leq 2s$, if $r > \beta_k + 1 = \deg_{x_k}(u)$, then $g_{j_k}^r = 0$, while if $r \geq \deg_{x_k}(u)$, then for any $g \in G$, $[g_k, g]g_k^r = 0$. Moreover, there exists $b_{j_k} \in H \cap \mathcal{B}$ such that $s(b_{j_k}) = s(g_{j_k})$, and for each r with $1 \leq r \leq s$, $b_{j_{2r-1}} b_{j_{2r}} \in C \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $0 \neq [g_{j_{2r-1}}, g_{j_{2r}}] g_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} g_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}} \in \langle b_{j_{2k-1}} b_{j_{2k}} \rangle$.

Observe that since $|s(g_m)| = 2\alpha_m - 1 = 2\deg_{x_m}(u) - 1$, and for each $r = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, $|s(g_{i_r})| = 2\alpha_r = 2\deg_{x_{i_r}}(u)$, while for each $r = 1, 2, \ldots, 2s$, $|s(g_{j_r})| = 2\beta_r + 1 = 2\deg_{x_{j_r}}(u) - 1$, we may choose the various $N_i's$ so that $s(g_1) \cup \cdots s(g_n) = \{ e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_z \}$, where $z = 2\deg_{x_m}(u) - 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{t} 2\deg_{x_{i_r}}(u) + \sum_{r=1}^{2s} (2\deg_{x_{j_r}}(u) - 1) = 2\deg(u) - 2s - 1$.

The first assertion of the lemma now follows immediately, since

$$0 \neq u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in \left\langle (\prod_{k=1}^{t} b_{i_k}) b_m (\prod_{k=1}^{s} b_{j_{2k-1}} b_{j_{2k}}) \right\rangle$$

and $(\prod_{k=1}^{t} b_{i_k}) b_m (\prod_{k=1}^{s} b_{j_{2k-1}} b_{j_{2k}}) = \prod_{r=1}^{z} e_r$.

For the second assertion, suppose that $v \in M_{m,n}$ with $u > v$. Note first that if $u > v$ is due to either condition (ii) or condition (iv) of Definition 2.3, then there exists an index i such that x_i is in the beginning of u and the end of v (see the remark following Definition 2.3). In such a case, $i \neq m$ since x_m appears in the beginning of every element of $M_{m,n}$, and so $i \in \{ i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_t \}$. But then $g_i \in C$ and thus $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$.

Next, we observe that if $u > v$ due to condition (i) or condition (iii) of Definition 2.3, then there exists i such that $\deg_{x_i}(v) > \deg_{x_i}(u)$. But then either $i = i_m$ or $i = i_k$ for some k with $1 \leq k \leq t$, or else there exist k with $i \in \{ j_{2k-1}, j_{2k} \}$. If x_i appears in the beginning of v, then $g_i^{\deg_{x_i}(v)}$ is a factor of $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$, and by (ii) or (iii) above, $g_i^{\deg_{x_i}}(v) = 0$ and so $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$. On the other hand, suppose that x_i appears in the end of v. Then $i \neq m$ and there exist k, l such that $i \in \{ k, l \}$ and $[g_k, g_l] g_k^{(\deg_{x_k} u) - 1} g_l^{\deg_{x_l}(v) - 1}$ is a factor of $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$. If x_i appears in the beginning of u, then g_i is central and $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$. Otherwise, x_i appears in the end of u, and then by (iii) above, we know that $[g_k, g_l] g_k^{(\deg_{x_k} u) - 1} g_l^{\deg_{x_l}(u) - 1} = 0$ and hence $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$, as required.

For the third assertion, assume that $p > 2$. We have $g_m = c_m + h_m$, where $c_m = \sum_{i=N+1}^{N+\alpha_m - 1} e_{2i-1} e_{2i} \in C$ and $h_m = e_{2N+2\alpha_m - 1} \in H$, where $\alpha_m \leq p - 1$. Thus c_m has $\alpha_m - 1 \leq p - 2$ (even, hence commuting) summands of the form $e_{2i-1} e_{2i}$, and so $c_m^{p-1} = 0$. By Lemma 2.5 (v), for $g \in G_0$, with $g = c + h$, $c \in C$, $h \in H$, we have $[g_m, g] g_m^{p-1} = 2 c_m^{p-1} h_m h = 0$, as required. ∎

Definition 2.8 *A polynomial $f \in k_1\langle X \rangle$ is said to be essential in its variables if every variable that appears in any monomial of f appears in every monomial of f.*

For $f \in k_0\langle X \rangle$, let $M(f)$ denote the number of monomials in f.

Lemma 2.8 *Let V be a T-space in $k_0\langle X\rangle$.*

(i) Let $f \in V$. If f is not essential in its variables, then there exist f_0, f_1 in V such that $f = f_0 + f_1$ and $M(f_0) < M(f)$, $M(f_1) < M(f)$.

(ii) Let $E_V = \{ f \in V \mid f \text{ is essential in its variables} \}$. Then $V = \langle E_V \rangle$; that is, V is the linear span of E_V.

Proof. We first consider (i). Suppose that f is not essential in its variables. Then there exists a variable x that appears in some but not all monomials of f. Let f_0 denote the sum of all monomial terms of f in which x does not appear, and let $f_1 = f - f_0$. Since $f_0 = f_{x=0} \in V$, it follows that $f_1 \in V$ as well. Furthermore, we have $M(f_0) < M(f)$ and $M(f_1) < M(f)$, as required.

Next, consider (ii). If $V = \{ 0 \}$, then $E_V = \varnothing$ and the result holds. Suppose that $V \neq \{ 0 \}$. We prove the result by induction on $M(f)$. Of all elements of V, let f be one for which $M(f)$ is least possible. We note that $M(f) \geq 1$. If f is not essential in its variables, then by Lemma 2.8 (i), there exist $f_0, f_1 \in V$ with $f = f_0 + f_1$ and $M(f_0), M(f_1) < M(f)$, which is not possible. Thus $f \in E_V$. Now suppose that $f \in V$ and for all $g \in V$ with $M(g) < M(f)$, $g \in \langle E_V \rangle$. If $f \notin E_V$, then by Lemma 2.8 (i), there exist $f_0, f_1 \in V$ with $M(f_0), M(f_1) < M(f)$ and $f = f_0 + f_1$. By our induction hypothesis, $f_0, f_1 \in \langle E_V \rangle$, so $f \in \langle E_V \rangle$ as well. The result follows now by induction. ■

Lemma 2.9 *If $p = 0$, then $T(G_0) = T^{(3)}$, while if $p > 2$, $T(G_0) = \{ x_1^p \}^T + T^{(3)}$.*

Proof. For $p = 0$, this result appears as Corollary 2 of [1], while for $p > 2$, it is Theorem 3 of [10]. ■

We are now ready for the main result for the infinite dimensional nonunitary Grassmann algebra over an arbitrary field of characteristic $p > 2$.

Theorem 2.1 *For k any field of characteristic $p \neq 2$, $CP(G_0) = S + T(G_0)$.*

Proof. Let $U = S + T(G_0)$. It is immediate from Lemma 2.2 that $U \subseteq CP(G_0)$.

For the converse, we note that $CP(G_0)$ is a T-space, and thus by Lemma 2.8 (ii), $CP(G_0)$ is the linear span of its essential polynomials. It suffices therefore to prove that any essential element of $CP(G_0)$ belongs to U. So let $f \in CP(G_0)$ be essential in $CP(G_0)$, and suppose that $f \notin U$. Then by Lemma 2.4, $f \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{t} \alpha_j u_j \pmod U$, where for each j, $\alpha_j \in k^*$, $u_j \in R$, and, if $p > 2$, any variable in the beginning of u_j has degree at most $p-1$, while any variable in the end of u_j has degree at most p (since then $x_1^p \in T(G_0)$). Without loss of generality, we may assume that the variables that appear in f are $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ for some positive integer n. We may further assume that for each j, u_j is essential in $k_0\langle X\rangle$ in the variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$. For suppose to the contrary that for some i and j, x_i does not appear in u_j. Let $f_0 = \sum_{x_i \text{ not in } u_r} \alpha_r u_r$ and $f_1 = f - f_0$. Since x_i appears in every monomial in f and f_1, we have $0 \equiv f_{x_i=0} = f_0 + ({f_1}_{x_i=0}) \equiv f_0 \pmod U$, and so $f \equiv f_1 \pmod U$.

Next, we observe that since each $u_j \in R$, u_j has a nonempty beginning. Let

$$\begin{aligned} m = \max\{\, i \mid 1 \le i \le n \ &\text{ and there exists } j \text{ such} \\ &\text{that } x_i \text{ appears in the beginning of } u_j \,\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each j such that x_m appears in the beginning of u_j, $u_j \in M_{m,n}$. Let f_m denote the sum of these terms, so that $f_m \in \langle M_{m,n} \rangle$, and let $f_e = f - f_m$. Suppose that $f_e \in U$. Then $f_m \equiv f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{U}$, and so $f_m \in M_{m,n} - \{\, 0 \,\}$, which implies by Lemma 2.7 that $f_m \notin CP(G_0)$ and thus $f \notin CP(G_0)$. Since this is not the case, it follows that $f_e \notin U$. Consider j such that $\alpha_j u_j$ is a summand of f_e. Suppose that $\deg_{x_m} u_j < p$, so there exist $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t < m$, positive integers $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t$, $0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_{2s}$, nonnegative integers $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{2s}$ such that $m \in \{\, j_1, \ldots, j_{2s} \,\}$, $\beta_m \le p - 2$, and

$$u_j = \prod_{k=1}^{t} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} \prod_{r=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}.$$

We may assume without loss of generality that m is odd, since the argument for the case when m is even can be converted, modulo $T^{(3)}$, to the case where m is odd by a sign change in α_j. Thus $m = 2d - 1$ for some d with $1 \le d \le s$, and for convenience, let $\beta = \beta_{j_{2d-1}}$. We apply Corollary 2.2, taking $v = \prod_{k=1}^{t} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$ and $u = \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \ne d}}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}}$, to find that

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &\overset{S+T^{(3)}}{\equiv} (\beta+1)^{-1} [x_{j_{2d}}, v] x_m^{\beta+1} x_{j_{2d}}^{\beta_{2d}} u \\ &\overset{T^{(3)}}{\equiv} (\beta+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{t} \alpha_k [x_{j_{2d}}, x_{i_k}] x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k - 1} \Big(\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \ne k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\Big) x_m^{\beta+1} x_{j_{2d}}^{\beta_{2d}} u \qquad \text{by Lemma 1.1 (iii) and (vi)} \\ &\overset{T^{(3)}}{\equiv} \sum_{k=1}^{t} (\beta+1)^{-1} \alpha_k \Big(\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \ne k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\Big) x_m^{\beta+1} [x_{j_{2d}}, x_{i_k}] x_{j_{2d}}^{\beta_{2d}} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k - 1} u \qquad \text{by Lemma 1.1 (vi)} \end{aligned}$$

Now for each k, $\Big(\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \ne k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\Big) x_m^{\beta+1} [x_{j_{2d}}, x_{i_k}] x_{j_{2d}}^{\beta_{2d}} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k - 1} u$ is equal to

$$\Big(\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \ne k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\Big) x_m^{\beta+1} [x_{j_{2d}}, x_{i_k}] x_{j_{2d}}^{\beta_{2d}} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k - 1} \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \ne d}}^{s} [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}},$$

and by Lemma 1.1, working modulo $T^{(3)}$, the end of this element can be rearranged so as to give an element of R with beginning $\big(\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \ne k}}^{t} x_{i_l}^{\alpha_l}\big) x_m^{\beta+1}$. We have proven now that if $p = 0$ or else $p > 2$ and $\deg_{x_m} u_j < p$, then u_j is congruent to a linear combination of elements of $M_{m,n}$. It follows that m is such that there exist $f_m \in \langle M_{m,n} \rangle$ and, if $p > 2$,

$$f_e \in \big\langle \{\, u \in R \mid \deg_{x_m} u = p, \text{ and for every } i, \text{ if } x_i \text{ appears in the beginning of } u, \text{ then } i < m \,\} \big\rangle$$

while if $p = 0$, take $f_e = 0$, such that $f \equiv f_m + f_e \pmod{S + T^{(3)}}$. Suppose now that m is minimal with respect to this property. If $f_m \equiv 0 \pmod{S + T^{(3)}}$, then $f \equiv f_e \pmod{S + T^{(3)}}$, which contradicts our choice of m since f_e is a linear combination of elements of R in whose beginning only elements x_i with $i < m$ appear. Thus $f_m \in \langle M_{m,n} \rangle - \{\, 0 \,\}$, and so by Lemma 2.7, there exist $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G_0$ such that $0 \neq f_m(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in \langle e_1 e_2 \cdots e_z \rangle$ where $z = 2(\deg(u) - \mathit{lend}(u)) - 1$ and for any $g \in G_0$, $[g_m, g] g_m^{p-1} = 0$. Since z is odd, $f_m(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \notin C_{G_0}$. If $p > 2$, then $f_e = \sum \gamma_i v_i$, where for each i, $v_i \in R$ and $\deg_{x_m} v_i = p$, from which we obtain that in each v_i, x_m appears in a term of the form $[x_m, y] x_m^{p-1} y^{\beta}$, and so $v_i(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$ for each i. Thus if $p > 2$, $f_e(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$, while if $p = 0$, this holds by definition. We therefore have $f(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \equiv f_m(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) + 0 \pmod{C_{G_0}}$. Since $f_m(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \notin C_{G_0}$, this implies that $f \notin CP(G_0)$, contrary to fact. Since this contradiction follows from our assumption that $f \notin U$, it follows that $f \in U$, as required. ∎

Corollary 2.3 *If k is a field of characteristic zero, then*

$$CP(G_0) = \{\, [x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \,\}^S,$$

while if k has characteristic $p > 2$, then

$$CP(G_0) = \{\, [x_1, x_2], x_1^p, x_2 x_1^p, [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \,\}^S + \{\, w_n \mid n \geq 1 \,\}^S,$$

where for each $n \geq 1$, $w_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}] x_{2k-1}^{p-1} x_{2k}^{p-1}$.

3 The central polynomials of the infinite dimensional unitary Grassmann algebra over an infinite field of characteristic $p > 2$

Note that $G = \langle 1 \rangle \oplus G_0 = \langle 1 \rangle \oplus C \oplus H$, and $C_G = \langle 1 \rangle \oplus C$. For each $g \in G$, $g = \alpha + c + h$ for some $\alpha \in k$, $c \in C$, and $h \in H$.

Lemma 3.1 ([6], Theorem 6) $T(G) = T^{(3)}$.

Definition 3.1 *If $p = 0$, let $S_1 = S$, while if $p > 2$, let*

$$S_1 = \{\, [x_1, x_2], x_1^p \,\}^S + \{\, x_1^p \prod_{i=1}^{k} [x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}] x_{2i}^{p-1} x_{2i+1}^{p-1} \mid k \geq 1 \,\}^S.$$

Note that if $p > 2$, $S \subseteq S_1$, by virtue of the substitution $x_1 \to 1$, while if $p = 0$, then $S = S_1$. Thus in every case, $S \subseteq S_1$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $p > 2$ and $u \in SS$ with $lbeg(u) > 0$. If $\deg_{x_i}(u) \equiv 0 \ (mod p)$ for every x_i that appears in the beginning of u, then $u \in S_1 + T^{(3)}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 (vii), $x_{i_1}^p x_{i_2}^p \cdots x_{i_t}^p \equiv (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t})^p \ (\operatorname{mod} T^{(3)})$, and so it suffices to prove the result for the case

$$u = \prod_{k=1}^{t} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} \prod_{k=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2i-1}}, x_{j_{2i}}] x_{j_{2i-1}}^{\beta_{2i-1}} {x_{j_{2i}}}^{\beta_{2i}}$$

with $t = 1$. Thus we consider $u = x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \prod_{k=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2i-1}}, x_{j_{2i}}] x_{j_{2i-1}}^{\beta_{2i-1}} {x_{j_{2i}}}^{\beta_{2i}} \in SS$ with $\alpha_1 \equiv 0 \ (\operatorname{mod} p)$. Since x^p is central in $k_1\langle X \rangle$ modulo $T^{(3)}$, it follows from Lemma 1.1 (vii) that we may assume that $\beta_i \leq p - 1$ for each i. If $\beta_i = p - 1$ for each i, then $u \in S_1$, so we need only consider the situation when there exists i with $\beta_i < p - 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that i is odd, say $i = 2r - 1$ for some r with $1 \leq r \leq s$, so we have $1 \leq 1 + \beta_{2r-1} \leq p - 1$. Let $v = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq r}}^{s} [x_{j_{2i-1}}, x_{j_{2i}}] x_{j_{2i-1}}^{\beta_{2i-1}} {x_{j_{2i}}}^{\beta_{2i}}$, so by Lemma 1.1 (vi), v is central modulo $T^{(3)}$. Using Lemma 1.1 (i) and (iii), working modulo $T^{(3)}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x_{i_1}^p x_{j_{2r-1}}^{1+\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}} v, x_{j_{2r}}] \\
&\qquad \equiv x_{i_1}^p [x_{j_{2r-1}}^{1+\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}} v, x_{j_{2r}}] + p[x_{i_1}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{i_1}^{p-1} x_{j_{2r-1}}^{1+\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}} v \\
&\qquad \equiv (1 + \beta_{2r-1}) x_{i_1}^p [x_{j_{2r-1}}, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}} v + x_{i_1}^p [x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}} v, x_{j_{2r}}] x_{j_{2r-1}}^{1+\beta_{2r-1}} \\
&\qquad \equiv (1 + \beta_{2r-1}) u.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $[x_{i_1}^p x_{j_{2r-1}}^{1+\beta_{2r-1}} x_{j_{2r}}^{\beta_{2r}} v, x_{j_{2r}}] \in S_1$ and $1 + \beta_{2r-1} \not\equiv 0 \ (\operatorname{mod} p)$, it follows that $u \in S_1 + T^{(3)}$. ■

Definition 3.2 *Let R_1 be the subspace of $k_1\langle X \rangle$ that is spanned by 1 and*

$$\{\, u \in SS \mid lbeg(u) > 0, \text{ and, if } p > 2, \text{ for some } x_i \text{ in the beginning of } u, \ \deg_{x_i}(u) \not\equiv 0 \ (mod p) \,\}.$$

Corollary 3.1 $k_1\langle X \rangle = R_1 + S_1 + T^{(3)}$.

Proof. In the case $p = 0$, $R_1 = k + R$ and $S_1 = S$, so by Lemma 2.4, we have $k_1\langle X \rangle = k + k_0\langle X \rangle = k + R + S + T^{(3)} = R_1 + S_1 + T^{(3)}$. Suppose now that $p > 2$. Our proof in this case is similar to that of Lemma 2.4. By Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, each element of $\{\, [x_1, x_2] \,\}^T$ is in $R + S_1 + T^{(3)}$. Moreover, any $u \in R$ in which every variable that appears in the beginning of u has degree congruent to 0 modulo p belongs to $S_1 + T^{(3)}$ by Lemma 3.2. Thus $\{\, [x_1, x_2] \,\}^T \subseteq R_1 + S_1 + T^{(3)}$. Since $1 \in R_1$ (and S_1 in this case), it therefore suffices to prove that every monomial belongs to $R_1 + S_1 + T^{(3)}$. From this point on, proceed as in the proof of Lemma 2.4. ■

Definition 3.3 *For positive integers $m, n, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ with $m \leq n$ and $r_m \not\equiv 0 \ (mod p)$, let $M'_{m,n}(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n)$ denote the set of all $u \in SS$ with $lbeg(u) > 0$ for which x_m appears in the beginning of u and $\deg_{x_i} u = r_i$ for every i.*

It is useful to observe that the conditions of Definition 2.3 also define a total ordering on $M'_{m,n}(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n)$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $m, n, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ be positive integers with $m \leq n$ and, if $p > 2$, $r_m \not\equiv 0 \ (mod p)$. Then for any $u \in M'_{m,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$, there exist $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ in $G(z)$, the subalgebra of G that is generated by $\{ e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_z \}$, where $z = 2lend(u) + 1$, such that the following hold:*

(i) $u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$ has nonzero odd part in $\langle \prod_{r=1}^{z} e_i \rangle$;

(ii) for any $v \in M'_{m,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ with $u > v$, $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$;

Proof. Let $u = \prod_{l=1}^{t} x_{i_l}^{r_{i_l}} \prod_{l=1}^{s} [x_{j_{2l-1}}, x_{j_{2l}}] x_{j_{2l-1}}^{r_{j_{2l-1}}-1} x_{j_{2l}}^{r_{j_{2l}}-1}$, and suppose that k is such that $i_k = m$. Let $g_m = 1 + e_m$, and for $l \neq k$, let $g_{i_l} = 1$. Let $g_{j_l} = 1 + e_{j_l}$ for every l with $1 \leq l \leq 2s$. Then

$$u(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = (1 + r_m e_m) 2^s \prod_{l=1}^{s} e_{j_{2l-1}} e_{j_{2l}}$$

has nonzero (since $r_m \not\equiv 0 \ (\text{mod}\, p)$ if $p > 2$) odd part $r_m e_m 2^s \prod_{l=1}^{s} e_{j_{2l-1}} e_{j_{2l}} \in \left\langle \prod_{r=1}^{2s+1} e_i \right\rangle$.

For any $v \in M'_{m,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ with $u > v$, we have $lend(v) \geq lend(u)$ and thus there exists an index $l \neq m$ with x_l in the beginning of u and in the end of v. But then $g_l = 1$ and so $v(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$. ■

Corollary 3.2 *For any positive integers $m, n, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ with $m \leq n$ and, if $p > 2$, $r_m \not\equiv 0 \ (mod p)$,*

$$\langle M'_{m,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \rangle \cap CP(G) = \{ 0 \}.$$

Proof. For convenience, let $M' = M'_{m,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$, and let $f \in \langle M' \rangle$, $f \neq 0$. Then $f = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i u_i$ for $\alpha_i \in k^*$, $u_i \in M'$, with $u_1 > u_2 > \ldots > u_t$. By Lemma 3.3, there exist $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G$ such that $u_1(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$ has nonzero odd part and for each $j > 1$, $u_j(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = 0$. Thus $f(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = u_1(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \notin C_G$, and so $f \notin CP(G)$. ■

Definition 3.4 *A nonzero polynomial $f \in k_1\langle X \rangle$ is said to be multihomogeneous of type $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n)$ if $f = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i u_i$, where for each i, $\alpha_i \neq 0$, $u_i \in k_0\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$, and $\deg_{x_j} u_i = r_j$ for each j.*

It is an immediate consequence of [3], Lemma 1.3 (1), that if k is infinite, then every T-space of $k_1\langle X \rangle$ is generated (as a T-space) by its multihomogeneous elements.

Theorem 3.1 *Let k be an infinite field of characteristice $p > 2$. Then $CP(G) = S_1 + T(G)$.*

Proof. Let $U_1 = S_1 + T(G) = S_1 + T^{(3)}$. By Lemma 2.2, $S \subseteq CP(G)$. Suppose first that $p = 0$. Then $U_1 = S + T^{(3)}$, and so $U_1 \subseteq CP(G)$. On the other hand, if $p > 2$, then since $g^p \in C_G$ for every $g \in G$, it follows that $x_1^p \in CP(G)$, which together with the fact that $S \subseteq CP(G)$ yields $U_1 \subseteq CP(G)$. Suppose that $CP(G) - U_1 \neq \varnothing$, and let $f \in CP(G) - U_1$. By the remarks above, if every multihomogeneous element of $CP(G)$ belonged to U_1, then $CP(G) \subseteq U_1$. We may therefore assume that f is multihomogeneous, say of type $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n)$.

By Corollary 3.1, $f \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_i u_i \pmod{U_1}$, where $u_i \in R_1$ for each i. Let $Y = \{\, u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq b,\ u_i \text{ is multihomogeneous of the same type as } f \,\}$, and let $W = \{\, u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq b \,\} - Y$. Then there exist $y_1, y_2 \in U_1$, such that y_1 is either 0 or else is multihomogeneous of the same type as f, while y_2 is either 0 or else is multihomogeneous of type different from that of f, and, for $f_1 = \sum_{u_i \in Y} \alpha_i u_i$ and $f_2 = \sum_{u_i \in W} \alpha_i u_i$, then $f = f_1 + y_1 + f_2 + y_2$. Necessarily, $f_1 + y_1$ is either 0 or else multihomogeneous of the same type as f, while $f_2 + y_2$ is either not multihomogeneous or is multihomogeneous of a type different from that of f. But then $f - (f_1 + y_1)$ is either 0 or is multihomogeneous of type that of f, so it follows that $f - (f_1 + y_1) = 0$; that is, $f \equiv f_1 \pmod{U_1}$. We may therefore assume that $W = \varnothing$.

Observe that for every j, $lbeg(u_j) > 0$, and, if $p > 2$, there must exist an index i such that $r_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and x_i is in the beginning of u_j for some j, because otherwise $u_j \in U_1$ for all j and so $f \in U_1$, which is not the case. Let

$$m = \max\{\, i \mid x_i \text{ is in the beginning of } u_j \text{ for some } j, \text{ and, if } p > 2,\ r_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \,\}$$

Suppose that for some index j, x_m appears in the end of u_j. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$u_j = x_{i_1}^{r_{i_1}} x_{i_2}^{r_{i_2}} \cdots x_{i_t}^{r_{i_t}} \prod_{k=1}^{s} \big([x_{j_{2k-1}}, x_{j_{2k}}] x_{j_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{j_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}} \big),$$

where $\beta_i = r_{j_i} - 1$ for each i, and $m = j_{2l-1}$ for some l with $1 \leq l \leq s$. By Corollary 2.2 (since $S \subseteq S_1$),

$$\begin{aligned}
u_j &\overset{U_1}{\equiv} r_m^{-1} [x_{j_{2l}}, x_{i_1}^{r_{i_1}} x_{i_2}^{r_{i_2}} \cdots x_{i_t}^{r_{i_t}}] x_m^{r_m} x_{j_{2l}}^{\beta_{2l}} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq l}}^{s} \big([x_{j_{2k-1}}, x_{j_{2k}}] x_{j_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{j_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}} \big) \\
&\overset{U_1}{\equiv} \sum_{a=1}^{t} r_m^{-1} r_{i_a} [x_{j_{2l}}, x_{i_a}] x_{i_a}^{r_{i_a}-1} x_{j_{2l}}^{\beta_{2l}} \Big(\prod_{\substack{h=1 \\ h \neq a}}^{t} x_{i_h}^{r_{i_h}} \Big) x_m^{r_m} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq l}}^{s} \big([x_{j_{2k-1}}, x_{j_{2k}}] x_{j_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{j_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}} \big). \\
&\overset{T^{(3)}}{\equiv} \sum_{a=1}^{t} r_m^{-1} r_{i_a} \Big(\prod_{\substack{h=1 \\ h \neq a}}^{t} x_{i_h}^{r_{i_h}} \Big) x_m^{r_m} [x_{j_{2l}}, x_{i_a}] x_{i_a}^{r_{i_a}-1} x_{j_{2l}}^{\beta_{2l}} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq l}}^{s} \big([x_{j_{2k-1}}, x_{j_{2k}}] x_{j_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}} x_{j_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}} \big).
\end{aligned}$$

If $p > 2$, then since $[x_k^p, x_m] \equiv p[x_k, x_m]x_k^{p-1} = 0 \pmod{T^{(3)}}$, it follows that $x_m^{r_m}$ can be moved, modulo $T^{(3)}$, to the left of each $x_{i_a}^{r_{i_a}}$ in the beginning of u_j for which $m < i_a$ (by choice of m, $r_{i_a} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if $m < i_a$). Furthermore, by application of Lemma 1.1 (iv) and (vi), the end of each summand may be manipulated modulo $T^{(3)}$ so as to present u_j as a sum of multihomogeneous elements of type $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n)$, each in $R_1 - U_1$. We may therefore assume that x_m appears in the beginning of each u_j. But then $\sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_i u_i \in \langle M'_{m,n}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \rangle$, and since $f \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_i u_i \pmod{U_1}$, it follows from Corollary 3.2 that $\sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_i u_i = 0$. Since this implies that $f \in U_1$, which contradicts our choice of f, we must have $CP(G) - U_1 = \varnothing$, as required. ■

Corollary 3.3 *Let k be an infinite field. If k has characteristic zero, then $CP(G) = \{ [x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \}^S$, while if k has characteristic $p > 2$, then $CP(G) = \{ [x_1, x_2], x_1^p, [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] \}^S + \{ x_{2n+1}^p w_n \mid n \geq 1 \}^S$, where for each $n \geq 1$, $w_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}] x_{2k-1}^{p-1} x_{2k}^{p-1}$.*

References

[1] A.Z. Anan'in and A.R. Kemer, *Varieties of associative algebras whose lattice of subvarieties is distributive*, Siberian Math. J. **17**, (1976), no. 4, 549–554 (1977).

[2] Brandao, Antonio Pereira, Ph. D. thesis.

[3] Chuluundorj Bekh-Ochir and D. M. Riley, *On the Grassmann T-space*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications **7** (2008), no. 3, 319–336.

[4] V.S. Drensky, *Free algebras and PI-algebras*. Graduate course in algebra. Springer-Verlag Singapore, Singapore, 2000.

[5] E. Formanek, Central polynomials for matrix rings, J. Algebra 23 (1972), 129–132.

[6] A. Giambruno and P. Koshlukov, *On the identities of the Grassmann algebras in characteristic* $p > 0$, Isr. J. Math. **122** (2001), 305–316.

[7] I. Kaplansky, Problems in the theory of rings, Report of a Conference on Linear Algebras, June, 1956, National Acad. Sci.-National Research Council, Washington, Publ. 502 (1957), 1–3.

[8] V. N. Latyshev, *On the choice of a basis in a T-ideal*, Siberian Math. J. **4** (1963), no. 5, 1122–1127.

[9] Yu. P. Razmyslov, On a problem of Kaplansky (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 37 (1973), 483–501. Translation: Math. USSR, Izv. 7 (1973), 479–496.

[10] Plamen Zh. Chiripov and Plamen N. Siderov, *On bases for identities of some varieties of associative algebras* (Russian), PLISKA Stud. Math. Bulgar, **2** (1981), 103-115.

[11] A. N. Stojanova-Venkova, *Bases of identities of Grassmann algebras*, Serdica 6 (1980), no. 1, 63–72.